

This is the final version of the Study 2 data set reported in Stanciu, Cohrs, Hanke, & Gavreliuc (2017).

Use of the data set is free. But, please acknowledge the source and cite as:

Stanciu, A., Cohrs, J. C., Hanke, K., & Gavreliuc, A. (2017). Within-culture variation in the content of stereotypes: Application and development of the Stereotype Content Model in an Eastern European culture. *The Journal of Social Psychology, 157*, 611-628. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2016.1262812>

Variable meaning is self-explanatory.

To compute the **warmth dimension**, aggregate for each social group the following items (all or selected): *_like*, *_warm*, *_amus*, *_gn*, *_wi*, and *_hon*.

To compute the **competence dimension**, aggregate for each social group the following items (all or selected): *_consc*, *_org*, *_dil*, *_comp*, *_ef*, and *_ind*.

NOTE:

The data set has been also used in a different set of analyses as reported in Stanciu (2015).

Stanciu, A. (2015). Four sub-dimensions of stereotype content: Explanatory evidence from Romania. *International Psychology Bulletin, 19*, 14-20.

To compute the warmth and competence dimensions, follow the instructions above.

To compute the **friendliness sub-dimension**, aggregate for each social group the following items: *_like*, *_warm*, and *_amus*.

To compute the **trustworthiness sub-dimension**, aggregate for each social group the following items: *_gn*, *_wi*, and *_hon*.

To compute the **conscientiousness sub-dimension**, aggregate for each social group the following items: *_consc*, *_org*, and *_dil*.

To compute the **efficacy sub-dimension**, aggregate for each social group the following items: *_comp*, *_ef*, and *_ind*.